Document 52 Filed 04/11/2008

Page 1 of 3

Case 3:07-cv-05278-SI

- 2. I am Civil Liberties Director at Plaintiff Electronic Frontier Foundation ("EFF"), which is a nonprofit corporation established under the laws of California with its principal place of business in San Francisco.
- 3. EFF generally represents clients without charge in precedent-setting litigation of national importance involving novel and complex issues arising from the intersection of new technology with privacy rights, free press and free expression rights, and other civil liberties. Nevertheless, EFF attorneys have hourly rates for purposes of cases in which EFF is entitled to attorney's fees.
 - 4. For 2007, my rate was \$500 per hour. For 2008, my rate is \$600 per hour.
- 5. My rates are well within the prevailing hourly rates in the San Francisco Bay Area for lawyers of comparable education, expertise, and experience who handle matters of the type involved in this litigation.
- 6. In 1993, I received my Juris Doctor degree from the University of California at Hastings School of Law. Since graduation I have continuously practiced criminal and civil law. I became Director of Civil Liberties at EFF in September 2007.
- 7. Before holding this position, I was the Executive Director of the Center for Internet and Society at Stanford Law School, where I taught the Cyberlaw Clinic and Cybercrime Seminar and supervised litigation conducted by the Fair Use Project.
- 8. Prior to this case, I co-counseled on at least two Freedom of Information Act cases, including *Poulsen v. United States Customs and Border Protection*, U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, No. C-06-1743 SI (N.D. Cal. 2007).
- 9. After a careful review of our files on the case, I estimate that I spent the following hours on the materials accompanying the preliminary injunction motion and this declaration:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Task</u>	Hour
10/26/07	Consultation, review and drafting of application for order	1.0
10/29/07	shortening time Drafting application for an order shortening time	0.5
10/31/07	Review of stipulation and email to team regarding hearing date, scheduling	0.2
		===
	Total 2007 hours:	1.7
4/8/08	Draft declaration in support of fee motion	0.2
		===
	Total 2008 hours:	0.2
10. In	total, I spent no fewer than 1.9 hours on this litigation, with 1.7 ho	ours spe
		•
vhen my hou	arly rate was \$500 per hour (\$950) and 0.2 hours spent in 2008 who	-
-		en my l
was \$600 per	arly rate was \$500 per hour (\$950) and 0.2 hours spent in 2008 who hour (\$120). At my hourly rates during the relevant timeframe,	en my l
was \$600 per		en my l
was \$600 per \$1,070.	hour (\$120). At my hourly rates during the relevant timeframe,	en my l
was \$600 per \$1,070.	r hour (\$120). At my hourly rates during the relevant timeframe, er penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that the	en my lot
was \$600 per \$1,070.	hour (\$120). At my hourly rates during the relevant timeframe,	en my lot
was \$600 per \$1,070. I declare under and correct to	r hour (\$120). At my hourly rates during the relevant timeframe, er penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that the	en my l
was \$600 per \$1,070. I declare under and correct to	r hour (\$120). At my hourly rates during the relevant timeframe, er penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that the	en my h
was \$600 per \$1,070. I declare under and correct to	r hour (\$120). At my hourly rates during the relevant timeframe, er penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that the to the best of my knowledge and belief. Executed April 11, 2007	en my l
was \$600 per \$1,070. I declare under	r hour (\$120). At my hourly rates during the relevant timeframe, er penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that the	en my lot
was \$600 per \$1,070. I declare under	r hour (\$120). At my hourly rates during the relevant timeframe, er penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that the to the best of my knowledge and belief. Executed April 11, 2007	en my lot
was \$600 per \$1,070. I declare under	r hour (\$120). At my hourly rates during the relevant timeframe, er penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that the to the best of my knowledge and belief. Executed April 11, 2007	en my lot
was \$600 per \$1,070. I declare under and correct to	r hour (\$120). At my hourly rates during the relevant timeframe, er penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that the to the best of my knowledge and belief. Executed April 11, 2007	en my lot
was \$600 per \$1,070.	r hour (\$120). At my hourly rates during the relevant timeframe, er penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that the to the best of my knowledge and belief. Executed April 11, 2007	en my lot
was \$600 per \$1,070. I declare und and correct to	r hour (\$120). At my hourly rates during the relevant timeframe, er penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that the to the best of my knowledge and belief. Executed April 11, 2007	en my l
was \$600 per \$1,070. I declare und and correct to	r hour (\$120). At my hourly rates during the relevant timeframe, er penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that the to the best of my knowledge and belief. Executed April 11, 2007	en my lot